2020

COVID 19 myocarditis: Myth or reality?

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.j-saudi-heart.com/jsha>

Part of the [Cardiology Commons](#)

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License](#).

Recommended Citation

Sasbou, Lamyae MD (2020) "COVID 19 myocarditis: Myth or reality?," *Journal of the Saudi Heart Association*: Vol. 32 : Iss. 3 , Article 14.

Available at: <https://doi.org/10.37616/2212-5043.1135>

This Case Report is brought to you for free and open access by Journal of the Saudi Heart Association. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Saudi Heart Association by an authorized editor of Journal of the Saudi Heart Association.

COVID 19 Myocarditis: Myth or Reality?

Lamyae Sasbou*, Badre El boussaadani, Ibtissam Fellat, Mohamed Cherti

Department of Rythmology, Cardiology Department, Souissi Hospital, MED V University, Rabat, Morocco

Abstract

COVID 19 so far is not a known cardiotropic virus, and the term “myocarditis” should be exclusively used after EMB or autopsy proven diagnosis. We report a case of 26-year-old man admitted for COVID 19 infection and symptoms leading to myocarditis. We describe the workup that led to the potential diagnosis.

Keywords: COVID19, Myocarditis, Myocardial injury, Endomyocardial biopsy, Arrhythmias

1. Background

Coronavirus disease has quickly become a global pandemic. Beside acute respiratory distress syndrome, patients with cardiovascular diseases are more likely to develop severe symptoms. Therefore, myocardial injury is one of the important pathogenic features of COVID-19.

The proposed mechanisms of myocardial injury are direct damage to the cardiomyocytes, systemic inflammation, myocardial interstitial fibrosis; interferon mediated immune response, coronary plaque destabilization, and hypoxia.

This report describes a case of a 25 years old man, admitted for dyspnea and chest pain focusing on workup that led to the potential diagnosis.

2. Case presentation

In March 2020, the patient presented to the Emergency with a fever, chest pain, and dyspnea.

Physical examination revealed O₂ saturation was 96% with the nasal cannula at 10 L/min, respiratory rate at 36 breaths/min, blood pressure at 100/76 mmHg and temperature at 38, 6 °C.

The electrocardiogram demonstrated ST-segment elevation in lateral and posterior territories with Q wave (Fig. 1).

CT scan showed an atypical form of viral pneumonia and alveolar hemorrhage (Fig. 2); Blood gas analysis after admission showed a pH: 7.43, PCO₂: 53.4 mmHg, PO₂: 66.2 mmHg. Pharyngeal swabs and sputum were tested positive for COVID 19; Markers of myocardial injury included elevated troponin I (50.37 ng/mL), aspartate aminotransferase (752 U/L), Creatine phosphokinase (7564 U/L), Lactate Deshydrogénase (3239U/L) and D dimer at 9600 µg/L. The immunological assessment was negative.

Bedside TTE showed myocardial wall-motion abnormalities along with apical akinesia and apical thrombosis, low LVEF (23%), restrictive mitral profile, and pulmonary hypertension (PAPS: 44 mmHg) (Fig. 3).

The diagnosis was considered severe pneumonia and myocarditis, with no obvious etiology beside a COVID 19 infection. The treatment regimen was ventilatory support, association Hydrochloroquine, Azithromycin and unfractionated heparin anticoagulation therapy due to apical thrombosis.

However, the patient's lung lesions continued to progress. The LVEF reminded the same at 25%, and the infection-related markers increased gradually. Moreover, the rest of myocardial injury biomarkers stayed elevated after 3 days of admission. Vasoactive drugs were needed. Multiple organs;

Received 26 June 2020; revised 28 August 2020; accepted 31 August 2020.
Available online 21 September 2020

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: lamyaesasbou@gmail.com (L. Sasbou).

dysfunction gradually developed. The patient died on the 15th day of hospitalization.

3. Discussion

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a novel betacoronavirus described among patients with pneumonia symptoms in Wuhan, China in December of 2019 [1]. Over the past few months, coronavirus has become a worldwide pandemic, with over 5,000,000 cases globally.

Several studies have shown that cardiac complications are not uncommon. Yet, there are controversial opinions about the combination of COVID 19 and myocarditis.

Pathological changes in the heart tissue may be due to the virus replication in the myocardium or to harmful immune responses caused by a viral infection. Autopsy studies showed inflammatory

Abbreviation

COVID 19	CORONA virus disease 2019
LVEF	left ventricle ejection fraction
ECG	electrocardiogram
TTE	transthoracic echocardiography
UFH	unfractionated heparin
BNP	brain natriuretic peptid
CMR	cardiovascular magnetic resonane
EMB	endomyocardial biopsy
ECMO	extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
VAD	ventricular assist device
IABP	intra-aortic balloon pump
PAPS	pulmonary artery systolic pressure

infiltration in the heart tissue, but no clear viral inclusion body was observed [2].

Here are some hypotheses of cardiac injury in the COVID 19 infection (Fig. 4).

Fig. 1. Electrocardiogram showing ST segment elevation in lateral and posterior territories with Q waves.

Fig. 2. Thoracic CT showing atypical form of viral pneumonia and alveolar hemorrhage.

Fig. 3. TTE on the second day after admission showing decreased LVEF and a large apical thrombosis.

The prevalence of myocarditis among COVID-19 patients is undefined. This is due to the deficiency of specific diagnostic modalities to assess myocarditis [3].

Clinical presentation of SARS-CoV-2 myocarditis varies from one case to another. The most urgent clinical sign is ventricular dysfunction and cardiogenic shock [4].

Blood tests usually demanded for myocarditis show elevated levels of lactate and other inflammatory markers. Cardiac troponin I, troponin T, NT-proBNP, and BNP levels usually are elevated in myocarditis because of acute myocardial injury and possible ventricular dilation. However, a negative troponin result cannot exclude myocarditis [5].

Electrocardiogram abnormalities such as ST elevation may be observed. ECG modifications are neither sensitive nor *specific* in detecting the myocarditis [6].

The echocardiogram signs are chamber dilatation and ventricular systolic dysfunction. Yet

cardiovascular magnetic resonance can be better by offering multiple advantages. The CMR results should be interpreted according to the revised Lake Louise consensus criteria. The myocardial edema and/or scarring were observed among a lot of the SARS-CoV-2 patients [7].

Endomyocardial biopsy is the finest test for myocarditis. EMB can provide an etiological diagnosis of viral infectious VS autoimmune myocarditis. So far, no case of endomyocardial biopsy or autopsy had proven myocarditis caused by COVID-19. There was no proof that COVID-19 enters cardiomyocytes nor replicating inside the myocyte and causing direct cardiomyocyte necrosis [8]. In our case there was no EMB performed.

The management protocol for cardiogenic shock includes administration of inotropes and/or vaso-pressors and mechanical ventilation. There was no evidence benefit of using intravenous immunoglobulin or corticosteroids in active-infection myocarditis.

Fig. 4. Physiopathological hypotheses of cardiac injury in the COVID19 infection.

Moreover, Multiples pharmacologic drugs being purposed for use in COVID-19 patients are understudies.

Tocilizumab tested in COVID-19 patients with raised IL-6 levels, might be helpful in the setting of cytokine storm syndrome and reducing myocardial injury [9].

Chloroquine is considered a very controversial drug, multiples trials to assess its efficacy in treating COVID-19 patients with the severe respiratory syndrome. However, Chloroquine may cause QTc interval prolongation; yet their effects seem to be modest. Nevertheless, many pharmacologic agents used empirically to treat COVID-19, including ritonavir/lopinavir and azithromycin.

4. Conclusion

During this pandemic, several cases of “myocarditis” have been reported. The pathophysiology hypothesis is an association of viral injury to cardiomyocytes and immune response to infected myocardium. ECG and cardiac biomarkers can raise suspicion. Cardiac imaging is used to orient diagnosis. The gold exam is an endomyocardial biopsy. So far, no EMB or autopsy series found histological myocarditis.

Our understanding of this virus and corresponding treatment strategies continues to expand, and as cardiologist community, we need more guidance regarding cardiac complications from this virus.

Author contribution

Conception and design of Study: Sasbou L, El boussaadani B, Fellat I, Cherti M. Literature review: Sasbou L, El boussaadani B, Fellat I. Drafting of manuscript; Revising and editing the manuscript critically for important intellectual contents: Sasbou L, El boussaadani B. Supervision of the research: Fellat I, Cherti M.

Conflicts of interest

No conflict of interest exists.

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

Funding

No funding was received for this work.

References

- [1] Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:727–33. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>.
- [2] Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30076-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30076-X).
- [3] Driggin E, Madhavan MV, Bikdeli B. Cardiovascular considerations for patients, health care workers, and health systems during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;75:2352–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.03.031>.
- [4] Kim I-C, Kim JY, Kim HA, Han S. COVID-19-related myocarditis in a 21-year-old female patient. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:1859. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa288>.
- [5] Januzzi JL. Troponin and BNP use in COVID-19. *Cardiology magazine*. March. 2020;18. <https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/03/18/15/25/troponin-and-bnp-use-in-covid19>.
- [6] Caforio AL, Pankuweit S, Arbustini E. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:2636–48. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu210>. Epub 2013 Jul 3.
- [7] Friedrich MG, Sechtem U, Schulz-Menger J. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in myocarditis: a JACC White Paper. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:1475–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2009.02.007>.
- [8] Sala Simone, Peretto Giovanni, Gramegna Mario, Palmisano Anna, Villatore Andrea, Vignale Davide, De Cobelli Francesco, Moreno Tresoldi, , Alberto Maria Cappelletti, Basso Cristina, Godino Cosmo, Esposito Antonio. Acute myocarditis presenting as a reverse Tako-Tsubo syndrome in a patient with SARS-CoV-2 respiratory infection. *Eur Heart J* 14 May 2020;41(Issue 19):1861–2. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa286>.
- [9] Siripanthong B, Saman N, Muser D, Deo R, Santangeli P, Khanji M, et al. Recognizing COVID-19–related myocarditis: the possible pathophysiology and proposed guideline for diagnosis and management. *Heart Rhythm* 2020 May 5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.05.001>.