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Abstract

Transcatheter valvular interventions are established as an alternative for surgery in selected patients in symptomatic high surgical risk patients. Tricuspid valve replacement after failed repair ring had limited experience to date. We report this case to highlight the procedure details and results.
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1. Introduction

Most of the tricuspid valve (TV) surgical repair is due to functional tricuspid regurgitation (TR) due to annular dilatation as a result of left-sided lesions. The presence of significant TV disease is associated with poor outcomes including mortality [1]. Surgical TV repair rather than replacement has been steadily growing in frequency [2]. Management of patients with failed TV repair can be difficult because mortality for redo TV surgery remains high [3]. The long-term outcome of TV repair is suboptimal, with more than 25% of patients developing significant TR within 5 years of repair [4]. To date, experience with the transcatheter tricuspid valve in ring (TVIR) has been limited. The international VIVID registry (Valve-in-Valve International Database Registry), reported that transcatheter TV implantation after prior surgical repair or bioprosthetic valve replacement can be performed successfully and safely, with good short-term outcomes [3]. Incomplete TV annuloplasty rings tend to develop progressive annular dilation, with dimensions that are large and geometrically heterogeneous [5]. We report a case with transcatheter TVIR plus paravalvular leak closure for mechanical aortic valve.

2. Cases Summary

A 52-year-old male with a history of rheumatic heart disease. He underwent caged ball mechanical aortic valve (AV) replacement in 1979 for severe aortic stenosis. In 1993 he underwent redo surgery with mechanical mitral valve (MV) replacement for severe mitral stenosis. In 2015 he underwent third cardiac surgery for MV replacement due to severe paravalvular leak and tricuspid valve (TV) annuloplasty for severe functional tricuspid regurgitation. He did well until May 2018 where he underwent fourth surgery for MV replacement due to infective endocarditis. The patient admitted in August 2018 with severe shortness of breath (SOB), recurrent...
pulmonary edema and manifestations of severe right sided failure despite optimal medications. Transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) transgastric long axis aortic view revealed severe paravalvular leak (PVL) around the aortic prosthesis (Fig. 1A) evidenced by diastolic reversal in the thoracic and abdominal aorta and vena contracta of 0.6 cm. There was also failed TV annuloplasty with severe tricuspid regurgitation (TR) and severe pulmonary hypertension. The heart team decided he was a high surgical risk due to multiple redo surgeries. The team opted to do transcatheter PVL closure for AV and transcatheter TVIR.

The aortic valve showed two large PVLs, anteromedial one was first crossed using multipurpose catheter and angled Glide wire that replaced by stiff wire. The 8 F delivery sheath easily crossed the defect, 10 mm muscular VSD deployed without affecting the mechanics of the AV (Fig. 1B and C). Still significant residual PVL was noted through the other defect. A vascular plug II size 12 was successfully sealed the residual leakage (Fig. 1D). Both devices were released (Fig. 1 E) with trace residual aortic PVL.

Echo 2D measurement of TV ring was 29*25 mm, 3D C shaped TV ring, and color doppler of Severe TR showed at (Fig. 2A, B, and 2C). Sapien 3 valve size 29 was selected based on surgical report of the ring type supported by the online mitral VIV application and confirmed by the 2D echo measurements of the TV ring. Sapien 29 mm valve deployed in the TV ring and positioned 85% ventricular and 15% atrial (Fig. 2D and E). After valve deployment residual significant PVL noted (Fig. 2F) so the valve was re-inflated after adding additional 4 mm to achieve 31 mm final valve size. Unfortunately, still residual TV PVL (Fig. 3A). To eliminate the residual PVL the defect was crossed and a muscular VSD device size 10 mm was deployed between the TV ring and the Sapien valve (Fig. 3B, C, and 3D). The mean RA-RV gradient by Doppler was 4 mmHg. Residual trace TV PVL showed at (Fig. 3E) The patient was treated with warfarin due to the presence of left-sided mechanical valves. After a year follow up TTE showed the tricuspid bioprosthesis had normal function with no leak and there was residual mild aortic PVL. The LV function remained at ejection fraction of 55%.

3. Discussion

TV implantation in incomplete TV rings is challenging than bioprosthetic valves because a circumferential landing zone is deficient and there is risk of valve embolization and PVL [6]. Evaluation of valve size is difficult because most rings are oval with a medial opening and surrounded by valvular

---

Fig. 1. A: TEE transgastric long axis aortic view showed severe paravalvular leak. B Deployment of the distal disc (LV disc) of an 10 mm muscular VSD device. C: Deployment of the proximal disc (aortic disc) of the device. D: Second wire for another device. E: Released both devices.
tissue [7]. Electrocardiogram-gated computed to-
mography may be used to assess the ring di-
mensons, but cannot consider the presence of
valvular tissue that may change the true di-
mensons. Bouleti et al. reported 3 cases of TVIR,
immeate and 1-year follow-up outcome was good
[7].

Jamil et al. reported rapid pacing in 56% of 22
patients he used balloon sizing to assess the true
dimensions of the ring, and any residual TR [8]. In
prior reports, TVIR was associated with a high rate
of technical success and few serious procedural
complications, restoration of good TV function, and
clinical improvement in most patients [8]. The mean
TV gradient remained higher than in a normal
native valve, similar to that reported for newly
implanted surgical bioprosthesis in the TV position
[9]. According to the American Society of Echocar-
diography guidelines, prosthetic TV stenosis should
be considered when the peak velocity exceeds

Fig. 2. A: Severe TR, failed annuloplasty ring. B: 2D measurement of TV ring. C: 3D C shaped TV ring. D: Deployment of 29 Sapien valve in TV ring.
E: Balloon inflation of the valve. F: Residual moderate PVL.

Fig. 3. A: Wire passed the residual PVL. B: deployment of a 10 mm muscular VSD device. C: Released PVL closure device. D: 3D of the implanted valve and the PVL closure device. E: Residual trace PVL.
1.7 m/s, the mean gradient is 6 mm Hg or greater, and the pressure halftime is 230 msec or longer [10].

4. Conclusion

Transcatheter implantation of tricuspid valve in-ring is an alternative to surgery in symptomatic high surgical risk patients. Larger series with longer follow-up are needed to assess the potential role of TVIR. Fixing the left-sided valves is mandatory before looking for the TV.
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